## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	CASE NUMBER:
CHINA CENTRAL TELEVISION, et al.  Plaintiff(s),	2:15-cv-01869-MMM-AJW
V.	
CREATE NEW TECHNOLOGY HK LIMITED , et al.	
Defendant(s).	ORDER/REFERRAL TO ADR
The Court, having considered the parties' Request: ADR Procedure Selection, the Notice to Parties of Court–Directed ADR Program, or the report submitted by the parties pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Civil L.R. 26–1, hereby:	
ORDERS this case referred to:	
$\square$ <b>ADR PROCEDURE NO. 1</b> : ( $\square$ district judge <i>or</i> $\square$ magistrate judge assigned to the case for such settlement proceedings as the judge may conduct or direct).	
ADR PROCEDURE NO. 2: This case is referred to the ADR Program. Plaintiff shall obtain the consent of a neutral listed on the Court's Mediation Panel who will conduct the mediation, and file form ADR-2, Stipulation Regarding Selection of Panel Mediator. If the parties have not selected and obtained the consent of a Panel Mediator by09/21/2015_, the ADR Program (213–894–2993) will assign one. Forms and a list of the Panel Mediators are available on the Court website, www.cacd.uscourts.gov. Absent extraordinary circumstances, parties cannot request a continuance within three (3) business days of a scheduled mediation.	
ADR PROCEDURE NO. 3: (Private mediation).	
The ADR proceeding is to be completed no later than: <u>2/29/2016</u> .	
The Court further sets a status conference for:	
For ADR Procedure Nos. 1 and 3, counsel are responsible for contacting the judge or private mediator at the appropriate time to arrange for further proceedings.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: September 1, 2015	Margaret M. Morrow
	Margaret M. Morrow United States District Judge

ADR-12 (01/12)